

# A GENERALIZATION OF A RESULT DUE TO HAVIN AND MAZYA

THEMIS MITSIS

ABSTRACT. We generalize the classical result of Havin and Mazya which relates Bessel capacity and Hausdorff dimension.

Let

$$L_\alpha^p(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{f : f = G_\alpha * g, g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)\}, \alpha > 0, p > 1,$$

be the space of Bessel potentials, with norm

$$\|f\|_{\alpha,p} = \|g\|_p.$$

Here  $G_\alpha$  is the Bessel kernel, i.e., the inverse Fourier transform of the function

$$\widehat{G}_\alpha(\xi) = (1 + |\xi|^2)^{-\alpha/2}.$$

The Bessel capacity of a set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  is defined as

$$B_{\alpha,p}(E) = \inf\{\|f\|_{\alpha,p}^p : f \geq 1 \text{ on } E\}.$$

The relation between capacity and Hausdorff measure is given by the following result due to Havin and Mazya [2].

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a Borel set. If  $p > 1$ ,  $\alpha p \leq d$ , then*

$$B_{\alpha,p}(E) = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{d-\alpha p+\varepsilon}(E) = 0, \text{ for every } \varepsilon > 0.$$

This implies that the Hausdorff dimension of  $E$  is less than  $d - \alpha p$ . The original proof of Theorem 1 involved the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function and was rather indirect. A different proof based on Wolff's inequality may be found in [1]. The purpose of this short note is to give an easy direct proof of a more general result in the context of "mixed-norm" capacities, defined as follows. Let

$$L^{p_1,p_2}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_2}), p_1 > 1, p_2 > 1,$$

be the space of all functions with finite  $\|\cdot\|_{p_1,p_2}$  norm, where

$$\|g\|_{p_1,p_2} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_1}} |g(x_1, x_2)|^{p_1} dx_1 \right)^{p_2/p_1} dx_2 \right)^{1/p_2}.$$

For  $\alpha > 0$ , define the potential space

$$L_\alpha^{p_1,p_2}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_2}) = \{f : f = G_\alpha * g, g \in L^{p_1,p_2}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_2})\},$$

with norm

$$\|f\|_{\alpha, p_1, p_2} = \|g\|_{p_1, p_2}.$$

Then the mixed-norm capacity of  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d = \mathbb{R}^{d_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_2}$  is defined as

$$B_{\alpha, p_1, p_2}(E) = \inf\{\|f\|_{\alpha, p_1, p_2}^{p_2} : f \geq 1 \text{ on } E\}.$$

Now, we can state our result.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d = \mathbb{R}^{d_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_2}$  be a Borel set.*

*If  $p_1 \leq p_2$  and  $d_2 + d_1 \frac{p_2}{p_1} - p_2 \alpha \geq 0$  then*

$$B_{\alpha, p_1, p_2}(E) = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{d_2 + d_1 \frac{p_2}{p_1} - p_2 \alpha + \varepsilon}(E) = 0, \text{ for every } \varepsilon > 0.$$

*If  $p_2 \leq p_1$  and  $d_1 + d_2 \frac{p_1}{p_2} - p_1 \alpha \geq 0$  then*

$$B_{\alpha, p_1, p_2}(E) = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{d_1 + d_2 \frac{p_1}{p_2} - p_1 \alpha + \varepsilon}(E) = 0, \text{ for every } \varepsilon > 0.$$

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we may assume that  $E \subset [0, 1]^d$ . Let  $\mu$  be a finite measure supported on  $E$ , and let  $u$  be a non-negative  $C_c^\infty$  function such that  $u \geq 1$  on  $E$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(E) &\leq \int u(x) d\mu(x) = \int G_\alpha * D^\alpha u(x) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int D^\alpha u(y) \int G_\alpha(x - y) d\mu(x) dy \\ &\leq \|u\|_{\alpha, p_1, p_2} \|G_\alpha * \mu\|_{q_1, q_2}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $q_1, q_2$  are the conjugate exponents of  $p_1, p_2$  respectively, and  $D^\alpha u$  is the fractional derivative operator acting on  $u$ , defined as the inverse Fourier transform of the function

$$(1 + |\xi|^2)^{\alpha/2} \widehat{u}(\xi).$$

For each  $n \geq 0$  we subdivide  $\mathbb{R}^d$  into disjoint dyadic cubes of sidelength  $2^{-n}$ , so that each cube of sidelength  $2^{-k}$  is split into  $2^d$  cubes of sidelength  $2^{-(k+1)}$ . If  $Q$  is such a dyadic cube then  $l(Q)$  denotes its sidelength and  $\widetilde{Q}$  the cube with the same center as  $Q$  and sidelength  $3l(Q)$ . Now, let

$$\widetilde{I}_\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} |x|^{\alpha-d}, & \text{if } 0 < |x| \leq 1 \\ 0, & \text{if } |x| > 1 \end{cases}.$$

It follows from the properties of the Bessel kernel (see, e.g., [1], [3]) that there exist constants  $a$  and  $A$  such that

$$G_\alpha(x) \leq A \widetilde{I}_\alpha(x), \quad 0 < |x| \leq 1,$$

and

$$G_\alpha(x) \leq A e^{-a|x|}, \quad |x| > 1.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|G_\alpha * \mu\|_{q_1, q_2} &\lesssim \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_1}} (\tilde{I}_\alpha * \mu(x_1, x_2))^{q_1} dx_1 \right)^{\frac{q_2}{q_1}} dx_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \\ &+ \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_1}} \left( \int_{|(x_1, x_2) - y| > 1} e^{-a|(x_1, x_2) - y|} d\mu(y) \right)^{q_1} dx_1 \right)^{\frac{q_2}{q_1}} dx_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{q_2}} \\ &= B + B'. \end{aligned}$$

$B'$  is easy to estimate. By Minkowski's inequality for integrals, we have

$$\begin{aligned} B' &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_1}} e^{-aq_1|(x_1, x_2) - y|} dx_1 \right)^{q_2/q_1} dx_2 \right)^{1/q_2} d\mu(y) \\ &\leq \mu(E) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_2}} e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}aq_2|x_2|} dx_2 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_1}} e^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}aq_1|x_1|} dx_1 \right)^{q_2/q_1} \right)^{1/q_2} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{I}_\alpha * \mu(x) &= \int_{|x-y| \leq 1} \frac{d\mu(y)}{|x-y|^{d-\alpha}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^{-(n+1)} < |x-y| \leq 2^{-n}} \frac{d\mu(y)}{|x-y|^{d-\alpha}} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{(n+1)(d-\alpha)} \mu(B(x, 2^{-n})) \lesssim \sum_{l(Q) \leq 1} \frac{\mu(\tilde{Q})}{l(Q)^{d-\alpha}} \chi_Q(x) \\ &\lesssim \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\delta p_1(n+1)} \right)^{1/p_1} \left( \sum_{l(Q) \leq 1} \frac{\mu(\tilde{Q})^{q_1}}{l(Q)^{q_1(d-\alpha+\delta)}} \chi_Q(x) \right)^{1/q_1}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\delta$  is a positive number. Now let

$$\pi_2 : \mathbb{R}^{d_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_2}$$

be the usual projection

$$\pi_2(x_1, x_2) = x_2.$$

Also, let

$$s = d_2 + d_1 \frac{p_2}{p_1} - p_2 \alpha,$$

and

$$t = d_1 + d_2 \frac{p_1}{p_2} - p_1 \alpha.$$

Suppose that  $p_1 \leq p_2$  and that  $\mathcal{H}^{s+\varepsilon}(E) > 0$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exists a nontrivial finite measure  $\mu$  supported on  $E$  such that

$$\mu(B(x, r)) \leq r^{s+\varepsilon}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $r > 0$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
B^{q_2} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_1}} (\tilde{I}_\alpha * \mu(x_1, x_2))^{q_1} dx_1 \right)^{q_2/q_1} dx_2 \\
&\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_2}} \left( \sum_{l(Q) \leq 1} \frac{\mu(\tilde{Q})^{q_1}}{l(Q)^{q_1(d-\alpha+\delta)}} l(Q)^{d_1} \chi_{\pi_2(Q)}(x_2) \right)^{q_2/q_1} dx_2 \\
&\lesssim \sum_{l(Q) \leq 1} \frac{\mu(\tilde{Q})^{q_2}}{l(Q)^{q_2(d-\alpha+\delta)-d_1 \frac{q_2}{q_1}-d_2}} \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{n(q_2(d-\alpha+\delta)-d_1 \frac{q_2}{q_1}-d_2)} \sum_{l(Q)=2^{-n}} \mu(\tilde{Q}) \mu(\tilde{Q})^{q_2-1} \\
&\lesssim \mu(E) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{n(q_2(d-\alpha+\delta)-d_1 \frac{q_2}{q_1}-d_2)}}{2^{n(q_2-1)(s+\varepsilon)}} < \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

provided that  $\delta$  has been chosen so that  $p_2 \delta < \varepsilon$ .

Now suppose that  $p_2 \leq p_1$  and that  $\mathcal{H}^{t+\varepsilon}(E) > 0$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then, as before, there exists a nontrivial finite measure supported on  $E$  such that

$$\mu(B(x, r)) \leq r^{t+\varepsilon},$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $r > 0$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
B^{q_1} &\lesssim \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_2}} \left( \sum_{l(Q) \leq 1} \frac{\mu(\tilde{Q})^{q_1}}{l(Q)^{q_1(d-\alpha+\delta)}} l(Q)^{d_1} \chi_{\pi_2(Q)}(x_2) \right)^{q_2/q_1} dx_2 \right)^{q_1/q_2} \\
&\lesssim \sum_{l(Q) \leq 1} \frac{\mu(\tilde{Q})^{q_1}}{l(Q)^{q_1(d-\alpha+\delta)-d_2 \frac{q_1}{q_2}-d_1}} \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{n(q_1(d-\alpha+\delta)-d_2 \frac{q_1}{q_2}-d_1)} \sum_{l(Q)=2^{-n}} \mu(\tilde{Q}) \mu(\tilde{Q})^{q_1-1} \\
&\lesssim \mu(E) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{n(q_1(d-\alpha+\delta)-d_2 \frac{q_1}{q_2}-d_1)}}{2^{n(q_1-1)(t+\varepsilon)}} < \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

provided that  $p_1 \delta < \varepsilon$ .

It follows that  $\mu(E) \lesssim \|u\|_{\alpha, p_1, p_2}$ . By assumption,  $B_{\alpha, p_1, p_2}(E) = 0$ . Therefore  $\mu(E) = 0$  which is a contradiction.  $\square$

Of course, Theorem 2 implies Theorem 1, if we take  $p_1 = p_2 = p$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] D. R. Adams, L. I. Hedberg, *Function Spaces and Potential Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1996.

- [2] V. Havin, V. Mazya, Nonlinear potential theory, *Russian Math. Surveys*, 27 (1972), 71-148.
- [3] E. M. Stein, *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Princeton University Press, 1970.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, PASADENA, CA 91125,  
USA

*E-mail address:* `themis@cco.caltech.edu`