SPHERICAL MEANS AND MEASURES WITH FINITE ENERGY

THEMIS MITSIS

ABSTRACT. We prove a restricted weak type inequality for the spherical means operator with respect to measures with finite α -energy, $\alpha \leq 1$. This complements recent results due to D. Oberlin.

Fix a small positive number δ , and for $r > \delta$ let us denote by $S^{\delta}(\bar{x}, r)$ the δ neighborhood of the (n-1)-dimensional sphere with center $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and radius r. That is

$$S^{\delta}(\bar{x}, r) = \{ \bar{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n : r - \delta < |\bar{x} - \bar{y}| < r + \delta \}.$$

(Here and for the rest of the paper we assume that $n \geq 3$.) Now, for suitable $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$, consider the spherical means operator

$$T_{\delta}f:\mathbb{R}^n\times(\delta,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$$

defined by

$$T_{\delta}f(\bar{x},r) = \frac{1}{|S^{\delta}(\bar{x},r)|} \int_{S^{\delta}(\bar{x},r)} f,$$

where $|\cdot|$ denotes Lebesgue measure. The mapping properties of this operator, its variants, and the corresponding maximal operators have been studied extensively by several authors using Fourier analysis. Recently D. Oberlin [2] proved the following restricted weak type inequality for T_{δ} with respect to measures more general than the Lebesgue measure.

Theorem 1. Let $1 < \alpha < n + 1$ and suppose μ is a compactly supported nonnegative Borel measure in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$ such that the α -energy $I_{\alpha}(\mu)$ defined by

$$I_{\alpha}(\mu) = \iint \frac{d\mu(x) \, d\mu(y)}{|x-y|^{\alpha}}$$

is finite. Let

 $r_0 = \inf\{r : there \ exists \ \bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \ such \ that \ (\bar{x}, r) \ is \ in \ the \ support \ of \ \mu\}.$

Then for $\lambda > 0$ and $0 < \delta < r_0$ one has the estimate

$$\lambda^2 \mu \left(\{ T_\delta \chi_E > \lambda \} \right)^{2/\alpha} \le C|E|, \tag{1}$$

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 42B25.

Key words and phrases. Spherical means operator, energy of a measure.

for all Borel sets $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ (χ_E is the characteristic function). Here C is a positive constant independent of δ and λ (it depends on μ and n).

The case $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ was left open in [2]. The example by the author mentioned in [2] suggests that if $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ then the right-hand side of (1) should be either corrected by a factor which tends to infinity as δ tends to zero, or replaced with a larger norm. In the latter direction, one has the following result due to D. Oberlin, which is a special case of theorem 4_S in [3].

Theorem 2. Suppose $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, and let $B(x, \rho)$ be the closed ball in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, +\infty)$ with center x and radius ρ . If μ satisfies

$$\mu(B(x,\rho)) \le \rho^{\alpha} \tag{2}$$

for all x and ρ , then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive constant C_{ε} independent of λ and δ such that

$$\lambda^2 \mu(\{T_\delta \chi_E > \lambda\}) \le C_\varepsilon \|\chi_E\|_{W^{2,\frac{1-\alpha}{2}+\varepsilon}}^2,\tag{3}$$

where the norm on the right-hand side is the Sobolev space norm.

The proof of theorem 2 is Fourier analytic. In this paper we give an elementary proof of the following estimate which may be thought of as the "non δ -free counterpart" of (3) under a weaker energy-finiteness hypothesis ((2) implies that $I_{\beta}(\mu) < \infty$ for all $\beta < \alpha$).

Theorem 3. If $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $I_{\alpha}(\mu) < \infty$ then

$$\lambda^2 \mu \left(\{ T_\delta \chi_E > \lambda \} \right)^2 \le C_\varepsilon |E| \delta^{\alpha - 1 - \varepsilon}. \tag{4}$$

Note that (4) is not entirely satisfactory. A natural conjecture (corresponding to an L^2 bound) would be

$$\lambda^2 \mu \left(\{ T_\delta \chi_E > \lambda \} \right) \le C_\varepsilon |E| \delta^{\alpha - 1 - \varepsilon}.$$

We do not, however, know how to prove (or disprove) this.

Proof of Theorem 3

To simplify the presentation we will be using the standard notation $x \leq y$ to denote $x \leq Cy$ for some positive constant C. Similarly, $x \simeq y$ means that x and y are comparable.

Let

$$F = \{T_{\delta}\chi_E > \lambda\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty).$$

We will discretize the problem at scale δ . First we show that F can be decomposed into roughly $|\log \delta|$ sets on which μ behaves as if it were α -dimensional. So, put

$$F_0 = \left\{ x \in F : \sup_{\rho \ge \delta} \frac{\mu(B(x,\rho))}{\rho^{\alpha}} \le 1 \right\},$$

$$F_i = \left\{ x \in F : 2^{i-1} < \sup_{\rho \ge \delta} \frac{\mu(B(x,\rho))}{\rho^{\alpha}} \le 2^i \right\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$I = \{i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} : \mu(F_i) \neq 0\}.$$

Then $\mu(F) = \sum_{i \in I} \mu(F_i)$, and since μ is a finite measure, we have that $|I| \leq |\log \delta|$ for δ small enough. Moreover

$$\mu(B(x,\rho)) \le 2^i \rho^{\alpha}, \text{ for } x \in F_i, \ \rho \ge \delta.$$
(5)

This means that, modulo the factor 2^i , the measure μ is α -dimensional on F_i . To estimate this factor, fix $i \in I$ with $i \geq 1$. Then, by the Besicovitch covering lemma, there exists a countable family of closed balls B_j with radius $\rho_j \geq \delta$ such that

- $\{B_j\}_j$ has bounded overlap.
- $\{B_j\}_j$ covers F_i .
- For all j we have that

$$\mu(B_j) > 2^{i-1} \rho_j^{\alpha}.$$
 (6)

Notice that

$$\frac{\mu(B_j)^2}{\rho_j^{\alpha}} \lesssim \iint_{B_j \times B_j} \frac{d\mu(x) \, d\mu(y)}{|x - y|^{\alpha}}.$$
(7)

So, using (6) and (7), we get that

$$2^{i}\mu(F_{i}) \leq \sum_{j} 2^{i}\mu(B_{j}) \lesssim \sum_{j} \rho_{j}^{-\alpha}\mu(B_{j})^{2} \lesssim \sum_{j} \iint_{B_{j}\times B_{j}} \frac{d\mu(x)\,d\mu(y)}{|x-y|^{\alpha}} \lesssim I_{\alpha}(\mu), \quad (8)$$

where the last inequality follows from the fact that $\{B_j\}_j$ has bounded overlap. Therefore, (5) and (8) imply that

$$\mu(B(x,\rho)) \lesssim \mu(F_i)^{-1} \rho^{\alpha}, \text{ for } x \in F_i, \ \rho \ge \delta, \ i \in I, \ i \neq 0.$$
(9)

If $i \in I$ and i = 0 then (9) follows trivially from (5) because μ is finite.

Now, we use Córdoba's orthogonality argument [1] to estimate the measure of each F_i , $i \in I$. (9) will be important here. We decompose \mathbb{R}^{n+1} into a family \mathscr{Q} of disjoint cubes of side length δ . That is

$$\mathscr{Q} = \left\{ \prod_{l=1}^{n+1} [m_l \delta, (m_l+1)\delta) : m_1, \dots, m_{n+1} \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

Let $\{Q_j\}_j = \{Q \in \mathscr{Q} : Q \cap F_i \neq \emptyset\}$ and pick $(\bar{x}_j, r_j) \in Q_j$ $(\bar{x}_j \in \mathbb{R}^n, r_j > 0)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{|S^{\delta}(\bar{x}_j, r_j)|} \int_{S^{\delta}(\bar{x}_j, r_j)} \chi_E > \lambda.$$

Since μ is compactly supported, the r_j 's are bounded, therefore $|S^{\delta}(\bar{x}_j, r_j)| \simeq \delta$. Thus

$$\mu(F_{i}) = \sum_{j} \mu(Q_{j} \cap F_{i}) = \frac{1}{\lambda\delta} \sum_{j} \lambda\delta\mu(Q_{j} \cap F_{i}) \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda\delta} \sum_{j} \mu(Q_{j} \cap F_{i}) \int_{E} \chi_{S^{\delta}(\bar{x}_{j}, r_{j})}$$

$$\leq \frac{|E|^{1/2}}{\lambda\delta} \left[\int_{E} \left(\sum_{j} \mu(Q_{j} \cap F_{i})\chi_{S^{\delta}(\bar{x}_{j}, r_{j})} \right)^{2} \right]^{1/2}$$

$$\leq \frac{|E|^{1/2}}{\lambda\delta} \left[\int \sum_{j,k} \mu(Q_{j} \cap F_{i})\mu(Q_{k} \cap F_{i})\chi_{S^{\delta}(\bar{x}_{j}, r_{j})\cap S^{\delta}(\bar{x}_{k}, r_{k})} \right]^{1/2}$$

$$= \frac{|E|^{1/2}}{\lambda\delta} \left[\sum_{j,k} \mu(Q_{j} \cap F_{i})\mu(Q_{k} \cap F_{i}) \left| S^{\delta}(\bar{x}_{j}, r_{j}) \cap S^{\delta}(\bar{x}_{k}, r_{k}) \right| \right]^{1/2}. \quad (10)$$

By Lemma 1 in [2]

$$\left|S^{\delta}(\bar{x}_j, r_j) \cap S^{\delta}(\bar{x}_k, r_k)\right| \lesssim \frac{\delta^2}{\delta + \left|(\bar{x}_j, r_j) - (\bar{x}_k, r_k)\right|}.$$

Moreover, for all $x \in Q_j$ and $y \in Q_k$ we have that

$$\delta + |x - y| \lesssim \delta + |(\bar{x}_j, r_j) - (\bar{x}_k, r_k)|.$$

Therefore

$$(10) \lesssim \frac{|E|^{1/2}}{\lambda} \left[\sum_{j,k} \iint_{(Q_j \times Q_k) \cap (F_i \times F_i)} \frac{d\mu(x) d\mu(y)}{\delta + |x - y|} \right]^{1/2}$$
$$= \frac{|E|^{1/2}}{\lambda} \left[\iint_{F_i \times F_i} \frac{d\mu(x) d\mu(y)}{\delta + |x - y|} \right]^{1/2}.$$
(11)

To estimate the integral in the square brackets, we use the distribution function. For each $x \in F_i$ we have that

$$\int_{F_i} \frac{d\mu(y)}{\delta + |x - y|} = \int_0^{1/\delta} \mu\left(\left\{y \in F_i : \delta + |x - y| < \rho^{-1}\right\}\right) d\rho$$
$$\leq \int_0^{1/\delta} \mu\left(B(x, \rho^{-1})\right) d\rho \tag{12}$$

Since $\rho^{-1} \ge \delta$, (9) implies that

$$(12) \lesssim \frac{1}{\mu(F_i)} \int_{0}^{1/\delta} \frac{d\rho}{\rho^{\alpha}} \lesssim \frac{\delta^{\alpha-1}}{\mu(F_i)}.$$

Consequently, (11) yields

$$\mu(F_i) \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda} |E|^{1/2} \delta^{(\alpha-1)/2}.$$

Summing up these inequalities in $i \in I$ we obtain

$$\mu(F) \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda} |E|^{1/2} |\log \delta| \delta^{(\alpha-1)/2} \le C_{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{\lambda} |E|^{1/2} \delta^{(\alpha-1)/2-\varepsilon}$$

as claimed.

The same argument shows that if $\alpha = 1$ then

$$\mu(F) \leq C_{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{\lambda} |E|^{1/2} \delta^{-\varepsilon}.$$

References

- A. CÓRDOBA. The Kakeya maximal function and spherical summation multipliers. Amer. J. Math. 99 (1977), 1-22.
- [2] D. OBERLIN. Packing spheres and fractal Strichartz estimates in ℝ^d, d ≥ 3. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 134 (2006), no. 11, 3201-3209.
- [3] D. OBERLIN. Unions of hyperplanes, unions of spheres, and some related estimates. Preprint available at http://www.math.fsu.edu/~aluffi/eprint.archive.html

Department of Mathematics, University of Crete, Knossos Ave., 71409 Heraklion, Greece

E-mail address: themis.mitsis@gmail.com